

2 Betty Spencer Drive Greenville, South Carolina

Grades K-12 Elementary School

Enrollment 135 Students

PrincipalWanda Brownlee864-355-0250SuperintendentDr. Phinnize J. Fisher864-355-8860Board ChairMegan Hickerson864-288-8363

2010 REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD YEAR ABSOLUTE RATING

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING
2010	N/A	N/A
2009	N/A	N/A
2008	N/A	N/A
2007	N/A	N/A
2006	N/A	N/A

ODOWELL DATING

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov


Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating

Percent of students tested in 2009-10 whose 2008-09 test scores were located

100%

/ IDOOLOTE TU TITLE	OO OI ELLINEITII	att contoole min	II O I O D E I I I O E II I E	00110
Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk
0	0	0	0	0

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 03/09/2011.



^{*} Elementary schools with Students Like Ours are elementary schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of Critical Terms								
Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.							
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.							
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.							

School Profile

Control France	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n=135)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/R	N/R	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	12.6%	Down from 14.9%	1.5%	1.2%
Attendance rate	93.8%	No Change	95.9%	96.1%
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%	No Change	4.4%	11.7%
With disabilities other than speech	100.0%	Up from 36.0%	8.4%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	15.8%	Down from 18.1%	0.8%	0.4%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.0%	Down from 0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=24)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	50.0%	No Change	60.0%	60.5%
Continuing contract teachers	83.3%	No Change	78.9%	84.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers returning from previous year	92.8%	Up from 90.9%	83.4%	87.0%
Teacher attendance rate	N/R	N/R	95.4%	95.4%
Average teacher salary*	\$47,711	Down 0.8%	\$45,509	\$47,288
Professional development days/teacher	11.5 days	No Change	10.7 days	10.5 days
School				
Principal's years at school	3.0	Up from 2.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	5.9 to 1	No Change	17.2 to 1	19.2 to 1
Prime instructional time	N/R	N/R	90.4%	90.8%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	100.0%	Up from 99.9%	100.0%	100.0%
Character development program	Excellent	No Change	Excellent	Excellent
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$27,330	Down 2.7%	\$8,762	\$7,548
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	75.1%	Up from 72.7%	68.1%	68.7%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	73.2%	Up from 70.9%	62.5%	65.1%

^{*} Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

Washington Center, located in Greenville, South Carolina, is a separate public school serving students exhibiting severe mental disabilities. Students have coexisting disabilities such as autism, blindness, deafness, speech deficits, and motor impairments. Significant cognitive disabilities and compounding health issues are factors impacting student progress and attendance. Enrolled students live throughout the district with special transportation provided. Staffing committees comprised of parents, administrators, classroom teachers, therapists, psychologists and agency representatives develop individualized educational programs as the basis for curriculum. Following federal due process procedures, recommendations are made for placement at Washington Center.

Students attending Washington Center range in ages 5 to 21, grades Kindergarten through 12. During the 2009-2010 school year, Washington Center served 136 students with 18 classroom teachers, one homebound teacher and 48 para-professionals. Support staff includes a Hortitherapy specialist and a Daily Living instructor as well as Art, Music and Adapted Physical Education teachers. Staff members also incorporate three administrators, office secretary and clerk, a Media Specialist, Therapists (physical, occupational and speech), custodial and cafeteria personnel, two registered nurses, three licensed practitioner nurses and an orderly. Vision, orientation/mobility, and hearing services are available on an itinerant basis for qualifying students. Washington Center occupied a new campus in the fall of 2005 as a prototype, state-of-the-art facility for special needs instruction. The school includes: 18 specialized classrooms, multisensory suite, multi-purpose gym, media center, art and music classrooms, Hortitherapy area with greenhouse, Daily Living classroom, instructional kitchens, and speech lab. Students have access to the state curriculum through standards-based instruction with evaluation via the South Carolina Alternate Assessment.

The school offers specialized curriculum such as the Mobility Opportunities via Education (M.O.V.E.), Hortitherapy and Daily Living programs, with learning access through augmentative communication and assistive technology program. Technology is integrated though touch screen adapted computers along with the use of Smart and Promethean Boards. Students participate in Special Olympics. The Carolina Therapy Dogs team provides monthly interactive sessions with certified, trained pets. Sensory integration opportunities are curriculum-based. A handicapped accessible playground provides appropriate special needs recreation. Integrated inclusion activities are shared with neighboring regular education schools. Volunteerism from area schools and the community is prompted along with numerous business partnerships. The annual "Walk and Roll" PTA sponsored fund raiser inspires community involvement. All programs are tailored to meet individual needs. As the motto states, families and staff believe that "Those You Think Cannot...Can!" The mission of the school is to provide opportunities for students to explore and develop potential for independent functioning and community involvement by addressing students' individual needs and creating a partnership with home, school, and community. Dr. Wanda Brownlee is the current Washington Center principal. Mrs. Cathi Moffett served as the School Improvement Council Chairman for 2009-2010.

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents									
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*						
Number of surveys returned	N/A	N/A	N/A						
Percent satisfied with learning environment	N/A	N/A	N/A						
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	N/A	N/A	N/A						
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	N/A	N/A	N/A						

^{*} Only students at the highest elementary school grade level and their parents were included.

No Child Left Behind

School Adequate Yearly Progress

NO

This school met 4 out of 9 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

School Improvement Status

School Improvement Key								
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.							
	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.							
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.							
Kh	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.							
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanctions: Implement the restructuring plan. Continue school choice and supplemental services.							
	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."							
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."							

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	1.7%	1.9%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	1.2%	5.6%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%	0.0%	Yes
Student attendance rate	93.8%	94.0%*	No

^{*} Or greater than last year

WASHINGTON CENT	WASHINGTON CENTER SPECIAL 03/09/11-230/1902									
PASS Performance By	y Group									
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary*	District % Met or Exemplary*	State % Met or Exemplary*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
English/Lang	uage Art	s - Stat	e Perfor	mance	Objectiv	/e = 58.	8% (Me	t or Exe	mplary)	
All Students	59	98.3	83.3	7.4	9.3	35.2	84.7	83.5	No	Yes
Gender										
Male	38	97.4	79.4	11.8	8.8	38.2	81.3	80.1	N/A	N/A
Female	21	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	30	88.3	87	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	32	100	80	10	10	40	90	89.6	I/S	I/S
African American	24	95.8	85.7	4.8	9.5	33.3	73.4	74.6	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	94.3	92.7	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	78.4	79.6	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	89.7	85.1	I/S	I/S
Disability Status	-50	400	00.0	7.4	0.0	05.0	F0 0	54.7	N	
Disabled	58	100	83.3	7.4	9.3	35.2	53.3	51.7	No	Yes
Migrant Status	0	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	1/0	CO F	NI/A	NI/A
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	69.5	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency		1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	70.7	70	1/0	1/0
Limited English Proficient	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	78.7	79	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals	32	100	83.3	10	6.7	40	76.1	76.9	I/S	I/S
	1	l	1	1	Į.		'	ı	!	
Mathema						57.8% (I				
All Students	59	98.3	85.2	13	1.9	37	82	80.4	No	Yes
Gender										
Male	38	97.4	88.2	8.8	2.9	41.2	80.5	78.4	N/A	N/A
Female	21	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	30	83.5	82.5	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group		400	00.7	40	0.0	40.0	07.7	07.0	110	110
White	32	100	86.7	10	3.3	43.3	87.7	87.8	I/S	I/S
African American	24	95.8	N/A	N/A	N/A	33.3	68.4	69.3	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander Hispanic	0 3	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	94.9 78.3	93.5 78.3	I/S I/S	I/S I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	79.5	83.2	1/S	I/S
Disability Status		14// 1	14//3	14//	14// 1	14// 1	10.0	00.2	.,,	""
Disabled	58	100	85.2	13	1.9	37	46.1	46.1	No	Yes
Migrant Status		100	00.Z		1.0	J 71	10.1	10.1	110	100
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	71.4	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency			// 1	,,,		// 1				. 4/1
Limited English Proficient	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	79.2	78.9	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status			.,0	.,,	.,0	.,,0		. 5.0		., 5
Subsidized meals	32	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	43.3	72.9	72.8	I/S	I/S
	1 -	1	1	1	1	1 .0.0	1	1	, ,, ,	, ,,,

^{*} Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

WASHINGTON CENT	ER SPEC	IAL					03/09/11-	2301902
PASS Performance By	Group							
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary
			Scien	ice				
All Students	38	73.7	73.1	11.5	15.4	26.9	70.6	67.3
Gender								
Male	25	76	76.5	11.8	11.8	23.5	70.1	66.9
Female	13	69.2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	71.1	67.7
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	22	63.6	69.2	15.4	15.4	30.8	80.2	79.6
African American	14	92.9	75	8.3	16.7	25	50.4	49.7
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	86.4	84.4
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	60.1	59.4
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	76.3	69.5
Disability Status								
Disabled	38	73.7	73.1	11.5	15.4	26.9	33.9	33.8
Migrant Status								
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	36.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	60.6	58.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	20	85	68.8	18.8	12.5	31.3	57.1	55.4
	'		Social St	tudios		'	'	
All Students	39	74.4	88.9	7.4	3.7	11.1	73.2	70.9
	39	74.4	00.9	7.4	3.1	11.1	13.2	70.9
Gender	0.4	7.5	04.0	40.5	0.0	40.0	70.0	70.4
Male Female	24 15	75 73.3	81.3 N/A	12.5 N/A	6.3 N/A	18.8 N/A	72.8 73.7	70.1 71.7
	15	13.3	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	13.1	71.7
Racial/Ethnic Group	20	90	90	12.2	6.7	20	70.0	70.0
White African American	20	80 72.2	80 N/A	13.3 N/A	6.7 N/A	20 N/A	79.8 57.9	79.2 58.4
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	86.9	86.8
Hispanic	1	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	67.8	68
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	69.8	71.2
Disability Status	ů	1471	1477	1471	1471	1471	00.0	71.2
Disabled	39	74.4	88.9	7.4	3.7	11.1	40	39.3
Migrant Status		, ,,,,	00.0	7.7	5.7	11.1	,,,	00.0
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	55
English Proficiency		14/7	11/7	14/7	14/7	14/7	1,0	- 55
English Fronciency								

Limited English Proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 1

22

I/S

63.6

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

7.7

69

61.6

68

60.8

WASHINGTON CENTER SPECIAL 03/09/11-2301902										
PASS Performance By Group										
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary	School Attendance Rate	District Attendance Rate
				Writing						
All Students	62	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	74	72.1	94	96.2
Gender										
Male	40	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	67.2	65.2	94.4	96.2
Female	22	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	81.1	79.2	93.5	96.3
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	32	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	81.6	80.8	93.9	96.1
African American	27	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	58.6	59.7	95.3	96.2
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	88.8	87	N/A	97.5

N/A

63.1

74.7

29.5

I/S

62.9

61.1

64.6

73.4

27.7

63.5

63.7

61.9

89.2

N/A

93.7

N/A

89.2

96.6

94.8

95.2

97.6

97

95.6

3

N/A

57

N/A

3

34

Hispanic

American Indian/Alaskan

Limited English Proficient

Socio-Economic Status

Subsidized meals

Disability Status
Disabled

Migrant Status

Migrant
English Proficiency

I/S

N/AV

0

N/AV

I/S

0

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

With involving Chile Content of C											
PASS Performance By Grade Level											
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary				
English/Language Arts											
	3	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
2009	4	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
		12	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	33.3				
29	5 6	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
	7	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
	8	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
		13	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	7.7				
0	3 4	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
2010		11	90.9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
2	5 6	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
	7	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
	8	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
			M	lathematics							
	3	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
6	4	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
ĕ	5	12	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	25				
2009	6	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
	7	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
	8	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
	3	13	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	7.7				
0	4	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
2010	5	11	90.9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
2(6	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
	7	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
	8	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
				Science							
	3	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
6	3	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
9	5	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
2009	5 6	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
	7	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
	8	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
	3	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
0	4	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
2010	5	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
2	6	5	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	7	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
	8	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				

DACC Defermence Du Crede Level											
PASS Performance By Grade Level											
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary				
Social Studies											
	3	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
6	4	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
2009	5	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
2(6	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
	7	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
	8	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
	3	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
2010	4	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
9	5	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
2	6	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S				
	7 8	10 4	I/S I/S	I/S I/S	I/S I/S	I/S I/S	I/S I/S				
	0	4	1/3		1/3	1/3	1/3				
				Writing							
	3	9	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
6	4	8	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2009	5	13	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2	6	11	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	7	5	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	8	10	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	3	14	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2010	4	10	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
9	5	10	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
7	6	12	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	7 8	11 5	0 I/S	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A				
	ď) 3	1/5	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A				